Long-term Outcomes with Biodegradable Polymer Sirolimuseluting Stents versus Durable Polymer Everolimus-eluting Stents in ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: 5-year follow-up of the BIOSTEMI randomized trial

#### Juan F. Iglesias, MD FESC FACC

Geneva University Hospitals

Switzerland

On behalf of the BIOSTEMI Extended Survival (ES) investigators



# **Disclosure of Relevant Financial Relationships**

Within the prior 24 months, I have had a relevant financial relationship with a company producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients:

Nature of Financial Relationship	Ineligible Company
Grant/Research Support	Biosensors, Biotronik, Concept Medical, Terumo Corp.
Consultant Fees/Honoraria	Biosensors, Biotronik, Concept Medical, Cordis, Medalliance, Medtronic, Pfizer, Terumo Corp.
Individual Stock(s)/Stock Options	No
Royalties/Patent Beneficiary	No
Executive Role/Ownership Interest	No
Other Financial Benefit	No

All relevant financial relationships have been mitigated. Faculty disclosure information can be found on the app



# Background

#### **EXAMINATION** @ 5 years

Durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents vs. BMS in STEMI (n=1498) Sabaté M, et al., Lancet 2016;387(10016):357-366

#### **COMFORTABLE-AMI** @ 5 years

Biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stents vs. BMS in STEMI (n=1157) Räber L, et al., Eur Heart J. 2019;40(24):1909-1919



Long-term outcomes of dedicated direct randomized comparisons between different newergeneration DES designs among patients with STEMI have not been reported to date.



# Background

CRF

#### BIOSTEMI

Biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents (BP-SES) vs. durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents (DP-EES) in STEMI (n=1300) Pilgrim T, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2021;14(6):639-648



Whether clinical *superiority* of biodegradable polymer DES is sustained after *complete* degradation of its polymer coating remains uncertain

# **Study design**



### **Study stents**

	BIODEGRADABLE POLYMER SIROLIMUS-ELUTING STENT ORSIRO ( <i>Biotronik</i> )	DURABLE POLYMER EVEROLIMUS-ELUTING STENT XIENCE (Abbott Vascular)
PLATFORM	Cobalt - Chromium, L-605 $60 \ \mu m$ $\leq 3.0 \ mm$ $\geq 3.0 \ mm$	Cobalt - Chromium, L-605
POLYMER	Hydrogen-rich silicon-carbide passive coating Biodegradable (within 24 months)	Durable
DRUG	Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) Sirolimus (1.4 μg/mm²)	poly-n-butyimethacrylate (PBMA)/poly-vinyildene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene (PVDF-HFP) Everolimus (1.0 μg/mm <sup>2</sup> )



## **Methods**

- Investigator-initiated follow-up extension study of the BIOSTEMI multicenter, prospective, open-label, single-blind, randomized superiority trial.
- **Bayesian analysis** incorporating **historical information** from **407 patients** with **STEMI** included in the **BIOSCIENCE** randomized trial.
- Bayesian log Poisson models with minimally informative priors (μ=0, τ=0.111) and an offset term (log of the time at risk) to model *incidence rates*.
- Robust priors for each endpoint were a 50:50 mixture between the historical informative prior (μ=posterior mean [BIOSCIENCE], τ=posterior SD [BIOSCIENCE]), and a vague prior (μ=0, τ=0.111) based on Bernoulli distributions.
- *Rate Ratios* (RR) estimates reported as the **median** of the *Bayesian posterior distribution*.
- 95% Bayesian credibility intervals (BCI) reported as the 2.5<sup>th</sup> and 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the posterior distribution.
- Superiority declared if the posterior probability for a RR <1 was >0.975 with ≥80% power.
- All analyses performed with individual participant as the unit of analysis and according to the intention-to-treat principle.



# **Study flowchart**





#### **Baseline clinical characteristics**

	BP-SES (n=649)	DP-EES (n=651)
Age (years) — mean ± SD	62.2 ± 11.8	63.2 ± 11.8
Male gender — n (%)	513 (79%)	477 (73%)
Diabetes mellitus — n (%)	73 (11%)	82 (13%)
Hypertension — n (%)	281 (44%)	297 (46%)
Hypercholesterolemia — n (%)	304 (47%)	302 (47%)
Active smoker — n (%)	294 (46%)	250 (39%)
Prior myocardial infarction — n (%)	27 (4%)	24 (4%)
Prior percutaneous coronary intervention — n (%)	29 (5%)	34 (5%)
Prior coronary artery bypass surgery — n (%)	2 (0.3%)	8 (1%)
Chronic renal failure (GFR<60 ml/min) — n (%)	76 (12%)	78 (12%)
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%) — mean ± SD	49.0 ± 11.0	48.4 ± 11.2



Iglesias JF, et al., Lancet 2019;394(10205):1243-1253

# **Baseline angiographic and procedural characteristics**

	BP-SES (n=649)	DP-EES (n=651)	p-value
Target vessel location per lesion — n (%)			0.13
Left main coronary artery	10 (1%)	9 (1%)	
Left anterior descending artery	316 (39%)	357 (44%)	
Left circumflex artery	143 (18%)	137 (17%)	
Right coronary artery	346 (42%)	302 (38%)	
Number of lesions treated per patient — mean ± SD	1.26 ± 0.57	1.24 ± 0.52	0.76
Thrombus aspiration — n (%)	243 (30%)	250 (31%)	0.68
Total number of stents implanted — mean ± SD	1.37 ± 0.64	1.39 ± 0.66	0.79
Total stent length (mm) — mean ± SD	31.91 ± 18.21	33.92 ± 19.76	0.051
Maximum stent diameter (mm) — mean ± SD	3.17 ± 0.52	3.16 ± 0.50	0.71
Small vessel (minimum stent diameter <3.0 mm) — n (%)	292 (36%)	321 (40%)	0.13



Iglesias JF, et al., Lancet 2019;394(10205):1243-1253

## **Dual antiplatelet therapy adherence**





\*Iglesias JF, et al., Lancet 2019;394(10205):1243-1253; \*\*Pilgrim T, et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2021;14(6):639-648

#### Primary endpoint: Target lesion failure @ 5 years



#### Individual components of TLF @ 5 years



BCI, Bayesian credible interval; BPP, Bayesian posterior probability; RR, rate ratio.



## **Clinically indicated TLR @ 5 years**



BCI, Bayesian credible interval; TLR, target lesion revascularization.



#### Landmark analysis of TLF and clinically indicated TLR



## Stent thrombosis @ 5 years



Patients included in BIOSTEMI trial only (without historical information from BIOSCIENCE). CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.



# Secondary endpoints @ 5 years



BCI, Bayesian credibility interval; BPP, Bayesian posterior probability; RR, rate ratio.



# Stratified analysis of the primary endpoint @ 5 years

		BP-SES	DP-EES	Rate Ratio (95% BCI)	BPP	BPP for interaction
Diabetes	no	42/575	58/569	 0.74 (0.53-1.02)	0.964	0.797
	yes	7/73	14/82	 0.56 (0.28-1.04)	0.970	
Gender	male	37/513	48/477	 0.75 (0.52-1.06)	0.948	0.577
	female	13/136	24/174	 0.70 (0.39-1.22)	0.905	
Age	< 65 years	19/381	31/376	 0.64 (0.35-1.04)	0.965	0.712
	≥ 65 years	31/268	41/275	 0.76 (0.51-1.11)	0.920	
ВМІ	< 30 kg/m2	42/513	53/518	 0.81 (0.57-1.13)	0.898	0.928
	≥ 30 kg/m2	8/134	17/131	 0.50 (0.24-0.87)	0.991	
Chronic renal failure	eGFR ≥60	27/557	53/555	 0.48 (0.29-0.78)	0.999	0.993
	eGFR <60	22/76	19/78	 1.13 (0.69-2.03)	0.315	
Small vessel	no	9/214	18/220	 0.51 (0.26-0.98)	0.979	0.819
	yes	40/429	54/431	 0.73 (0.49-1.06)	0.949	
Long lesion	no	12/139	16/152	 0.86 (0.43-1.70)	0.667	0.752
	yes	37/504	56/499	 0.66 (0.44-0.97)	0.983	
Multivessel disease	no	46/598	63/601	 0.76 (0.55-1.05)	0.952	0.929
	yes	4/50	9/50	 0.45 (0.17-0.89)	0.985	
Total vessel occlusion	no	20/263	23/228	 0.73 (0.40-1.32)	0.861	0.570
	yes	30/385	49/423	 0.69 (0.46-1.01)	0.970	

Rate ratio

BCI, Bayesian credible interval; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, glomerular filtration rate; BPP, Bayesian posterior probability; RR, rate ratio.



# Limitations

- Study powered for superiority on the primary endpoint of TLF at 5 years using Bayesian methods.
  - Differences in individual components of TLF and secondary endpoints should be interpreted with caution and are hypothesis-generating.
- Study DES designs *differ* in terms of stent platforms, polymer characteristics, presence/absence of a passive coating, and antiproliferative agents.
  - Relative contribution of individual components to differences in clinical outcomes between BP-SES and DP-EES cannot be definitively differentiated.
- Follow-up information missing for a significant number of patients (n=193) at 5 years because of refusal or loss to follow-up.
  - In a sensitivity analysis using multiple imputations of the primary endpoint, we found similar estimates of the RR for TLF at 5 years between BP-SES and DP-EES.



# Conclusions

- In patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI, BP-SES are *superior* to DP-EES with respect to the rates of TLF at 5 years of follow-up, a difference driven by a numerically lower risk for ischemia-driven TLR.
- Differences in TLF between BP-SES and DP-EES at 5 years remain consistent after exclusion of the historical information from the BIOSCIENCE trial.
- BIOSTEMI ES is the first *head-to-head* randomized trial with a *superiority* design and *long-term follow-up* demonstrating (1) significant differences in clinical outcomes between two contemporary DES for the treatment of patients with STEMI, and (2) the *absence* of late catch-up phenomenon with newer-generation biodegradable polymer DES after complete degradation of the polymer coating.
- In the current era of newer-generation DES, potent antithrombotic therapies, and effective secondary preventive treatments, differences in long-term stent-related outcomes between newer-generation DES designs do not translate into significant differences in patient-oriented clinical outcomes at 5 years of follow-up.





Long-term outcomes with biodegradable polymer sirolimus-  $\mathfrak{P} \mathscr{O}^{\uparrow}$ eluting stents versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: 5-year follow-up of the BIOSTEMI randomised superiority trial

Juan F Iglesias, Marco Roffi, Sylvain Losdat, Olivier Muller, Sophie Degrauwe, David J Kurz, Laurent Haegeli, Daniel Weilenmann, Christoph Kaiser, Maxime Tapponnier, Stéphane Cook, Florim Cuculi, Dik Heg, Stephan Windecker, Thomas Pilgrim

BIOSTEMI ES manuscript available online as of October 25, 2023 in The Lancet

